MISCELLANEOUS NOTE

11. ON THE IDENTITY OF RAT-TAILED ANCHOVY COILIA NEGLECTA WHITEHEAD, 1967

Whitehead (1967b) described a new species of rat-tailed anchovy, Coilia neglecta from the collections of the International Indian Ocean Expedition made during 1963-64, on the basis of one holotype and 10 paratypes. In these eleven specimens the number of post-pelvic scutes is 8-9; however, in his key to ten species of Coilia (p. 30) he states that in C. neglecta the number of post-pelvic scutes is 9-11. In the same key he indicates that the number of post-pelvic scutes in C. dussumieri Val. 1848, is 6-8. In the two specimens of C. dussumieri described by him earlier (RMNH 7073, Whitehead et al. 1966; MNHN 3749, Whitehead 1967a), the number of post-pelvic scutes is 8. In the 30 specimens of C. dussumieri from Gollapalem (Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh), all of which had pearly spots in fresh condition, the number of postpelvic scutes is 7-9. According to Whitehead's Key, C. dussumieri is distinguished from the other 9 species in possessing pearly spots on flanks. Haneda (1961) identified them as light organs and according to him '—if this material is preserved in formalin for several months, the golden orange colour of the luminous organ fades away completely, and it becomes almost impossible to recognise the luminous organ on opercular or body surface' (p. 49-50).

Characters usually employed in distinguishing the species of Coilia are: (a) number of ventral rays, (b) length of maxilla, (c) presence or absence of pre-pelvic scutes, (d) number of pectoral filaments, (e) number of abdominal scutes, and (f) gill rakers. A comparison of the characters of C. neglecta Whitehead and C. dussumieri Val., shows considerable or complete overlap in all biometric characters (Tables I and II); the only positive difference between them is with regard to the pearly spots, which are absent in C. neglecta. Examination of C. dussumieri collected from Gollapalem shows that the pearly spots which are very distinct in fresh specimens disappear partially or completely, after preservation in formalin, as observed by Haneda. Since the description of C. neglecta was based on preserved material, and as stated earlier, the light organs in C. dussumieri may disappear after preservation in formalin, C. dussumieri could possibly be mistaken for C. neglecta, while working on preserved material.

A pseudobranch is present both in C. neglecta (Whitehead 1967b) and in the specimen of C. dussumieri in RMNH (No. 7073), but it was not found in the lectotype of C. dussumieri (Whitehead 1967a).

In the circumstances, it is suggested that the validity of C. neglecta remains doubtful until some stable characters are found to distinguish

TABLE 1

| C. dussumieri Val. (Gollapalem, n = 30) | Present small(9-11 fil) 9-11 I iii 10-11 v-vi + 8-11 i 6 100-112 17-21 + 24-26 5-6 + 7-9 |
|--|---|
| Lectotype (RMNH 7073) of Leptonurs chrysostigma Blkr. (= C. dussumieri)* | Present minute 8 (79) 1 iii 12 vi + 13 i 6 iii 103 -+ 26 5+8 |
| Lectotype, (MNHN 3749) of C. dussumieri Val. ² | Present not found 1 ini 12 vi + 10 ii 100 (? 1 or 2 rays missing) 17 + 124 5 + 8 |
| C. neglecta Whitehead ¹ | Absent present 10-12 I iii 10-11 vi + 10-12 i 6 iii 91-107 17-19 + 23-26 5-6 + 8-9 |
| Character | light organs on flanks pseudobranch branchiostegals dorsal rays pectoral rays ventral rays anal rays gill rakers scutes |

¹ Whitehead 1967a.

Whitehead 1967b.

³ Whitehead et al. 1966.

Whitehead (1967a, p. 154) gives the number of lower gill rakers in the lectotype of C. dussumieri as 12, this is possibly a printer's devil, because the number of lower gill rakers in this species is much higher.

MNHN: Muséum National d' Histoire Naturelle, Paris.

RMNH: Riksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden.

TABLE 2

| C. dussumieri Val. (Gollapalem, n = 30) | 18-7-21-7 17-6-19-3 3-6-4-6 3-7-4-6 3-7-4-6 39-4-47-0 5-5-6-9 25-0-27-2 23-9-26-6 37-3-40-6 |
|---|---|
| Lectotype (RMNH 7073) of Leptonurus chrysostigma Blkr. (= C. dussumieri)³ | 17.2 14.2 3.4 3.4 3.4 4.6 (15.6) damaged damaged 5.3 5.3 28.0 28.0 25.8 37.3 |
| Lectotype, (MNHN 3749) of C. dussumieri Val. ² | 11.6 4.4.4 1.1.2 1.2.5 1.2.5 1.2.5 1.2.5 1.3.5 1 |
| C. neglecía Whitehead ¹ | 18.4-20.3 16.2-17.9 2.6-3.6 3.6-4.1 14.0-16.0 11.5-12.6 31.3 & 37.2-49.0 5.5. 6.3 58.8-64.2 & 69.9 2.63-27.7 2.67-29.1 37.0-41.6 |
| Character | body depth head length snout length eye diameter upper jaw length lower jaw length longest pectoral filament pelvic fin length length of anal fin base pre-dorsal distance pre-pelvic distance pre-pelvic distance |

¹ Whitehead 1967a.

² Whitehead 1967b.

3 Whitehead et al. 1966.

MNHN: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris. RMNH: Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden.

because formalin-preserved specimens of it from C. dussumieri, C. dussumieri in which the pearly spots have become indistinct or have disappeared could be easily mistaken for C. neglecta.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The junior author is thankful to Mr. S. Rama Rao, M.Sc., Head of the Department of Zoology, D.N.R. College, Bhimavaram, for the facilities provided and to the University Grants Commission for financial assistance.

ZOOLOGY DEPARTMENT, A.U. POST-GRADUATE CENTRE, GUNTUR-5, A.P., June 29, 1971.

S. DUTT B. V. SESHAGIRI RAO1

REFERENCES

HANEDA, Y. (1961): A preliminary report on two luminous fish from Bombay

and Hong Kong. Sci. Rept. Yokosuka City Mus. 6: 45-50.
WHITEHEAD, P. J. P. (1967a); The clupeoid fishes described by Lacepede, Cuvier & Valenciennes, ibid. Suppl. 2: 148-155.

WHITEHEAD, P. J. P. (1967b): Indian Ocean Anchovies Collected by the Anton

Pacific elopoid and clupeoid fishes. Zool. Verhandel. Leiden 84: 135-145.

¹ Present Address: Department of Zoology, D.N.R. College, Bhimavaram (A.P.).